Talk with a friend...

- You send 2000 bytes to your friend over a direct link which has a 40ms delay and 100Mbps capacity. How long does it take, from when you put the first bit on the wire, until the last bit arrives at your friend?

- What is statistical multiplexing?

- What are three examples of physical media over which one can build a network?
Project 1

• Will be out TOMORROW

• TAs will give a tutorial on getting started and how to succeed with the very first checkpoint.
  • Bring your laptop to recitation tomorrow so that you can follow along with the how-to’s.

• You have just a week to turn in the first project checkpoint!
Swag

- vmWare, Nefeli, and Fastly kindly sent us some swag

- Later in the semester we’ll learn about virtual networks in datacenters (vmWare is a leader in this), network functions (Nefeli is a stealthy startup in this), and content distribution networks (fastly is medium startup in this).
GitHub

• Your wonderful classmate Qingyu Chen made a pull request to fix a typo on the course website.

• Thank you Qingyu!

• If you see problems with the course website and want to suggest fixes, please make a pull request yourself!
On Tuesday I Did Some Slight of Hand…

“Point-to-Point Network”

“Local Area Network”
What I said

• “When you have networks with lots of nodes talking to each other, we think it’s better to have packet-switched rather than circuit switched networks.”

• What I didn’t answer:
  
  • In those networks with lots of nodes talking to each other, how do we identify each node?
  
  • And how do the messages get routed from one node to another? How does the network know where to steer the packets?
Today we will fill in these gaps

• We will talk about Local Area Networks
  • How do we identify hosts/nodes/servers on Local Area Networks?
  • How does data reach its destination in a Local Area Network?
• We will then talk about Wide Area Networks
  • What is a Wide Area Network???
• We still won’t talk about the Internet! Just the insides of one single network.
One Single Network
Basic Vocab

“End Host”
“Host”
“Computer”
“Device”
Basic Vocab

“Switch”
“Bridge”
“Router”
Basic Vocab

3 port switch

4 port switch

5 port switch
So You Want To Build a Local Area Network

Step 1: Choose an *addressing scheme*

Today, we’ll talk about one addressing scheme: MAC addresses.

There are others we’ll learn about next week.
MAC Addresses

• “Media Access Control Address”

• 48 bits long, written as a sequence of hexadecimal numbers
  • e.g. 34:f3:e4:ae:66:44

• Quick — how many possible MAC addresses are there?
  • 281,474,976,710,656

• Used as part of a protocol called Ethernet.
An Ethernet Packet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preamble</th>
<th>SFD</th>
<th>Destination MAC Address</th>
<th>Source MAC Address</th>
<th>EtherType</th>
<th>Payload</th>
<th>FCS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Aka “Frame”

A, aka “Datagram”

NEVER: PACKAGE
“Packets” are what we send over networks and it makes me cry when students switch these two words up.
me irl
Preamble: Always 1010101010101 repeating, 56 times

SFD:
10101011

Why???
Ask your friend.
Back to Ethernet

Address of the host to send the packet to
MAC Addresses

All MAC addresses are assigned by your device’s manufacturer.

Every wireless adaptor, ethernet port, bluetooth connector you have has a unique number assigned to it by the manufacturer.

I found this crazy when I learned this!
MAC Addresses

How do I learn someone’s MAC address so I can send to them?

I’ll tell you when you’re older.

(For now, just pretend you MAC addresses are like phone numbers — you have to ask someone for their number in the real world.)
Back to Ethernet

Address of the host who is sending the packet
Why would we need the source address?
Reply-to:

Sally Student
302 Red, White and Blue Ave.
Wilmington, NC 28409

Sam Student
405 Liberty Lane
Wilmington, NC 28409
Back to Ethernet

- Preamble
- SFD
- Destination MAC Address
- Source MAC Address
- EtherType
- Payload
- FCS

Type of data inside the packet (more on this next week)
## Back to Ethernet

Back to Ethernet diagram:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preamble</th>
<th>SFD</th>
<th>Destination MAC Address</th>
<th>Source MAC Address</th>
<th>EtherType</th>
<th>Payload</th>
<th>FCS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Finally! The data you want to send!
Back to Ethernet

Frame check sequence
(We’ll talk about this later too — it helps you detect errors in the packet)
So You Want To Build a Local Area Network

1. Choose an addressing scheme
   - Great! Using Ethernet, we have MAC addresses that let us know where to send packets, and that let the receiver know where to reply-to.

2. Choose a *routing algorithm* to deliver your packets to the right place.
   - Literally the entire rest of this lecture… and 2 of the next 4 lectures too.
Cheater Solution

- Hard-Code Everything
- Better Solution: Plug and Play
  - Network should self-update if a link or a router goes down.
  - New computers should be able to join the network easily
    - Or move around!
  - The network should be able to grow without having to update all the old infrastructure
Routing, Generation 1: Broadcast

To: aa:bb:cc:dd:ee:ff
Routing, Generation 1: Broadcast
Routing, Generation 1: Broadcast

To: aa:bb:cc:dd:ee:ff
To: aa:bb:cc:dd:ee:ff
To: aa:bb:cc:dd:ee:ff
Routing, Generation 1: Broadcast

To: aa:bb:cc:dd:ee:ff

To: aa:bb:cc:dd:ee:ff

To: aa:bb:cc:dd:ee:ff

To: aa:bb:cc:dd:ee:ff

To: aa:bb:cc:dd:ee:ff

To: aa:bb:cc:dd:ee:ff
Routing, Generation 1: Broadcast
Result: Everyone receives the packet!
Only the receiver responds.
(Anything wrong with this?)
Fun Fact

• You can often listen in to Ethernet packets being sent around when you’re sharing a network with others.

• On WiFi…

• Plugged in to an Ethernet port at CMU…

• All you need to do is put your network interface in “promiscuous” mode.

• We will show you how to do this later this semester.

• Use your powers for good (debugging) not evil!
The Only Smart Thing In Gen 1: Learning Bridges
What Happens When aa:bb:cc:dd:ee:ff replies? Does everyone hear it again?
The Only Smart Thing In Gen 1: Learning Bridges

Every switch maintains a table: “If you want to send a packet to this MAC address, send it on this port.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MAC Address</th>
<th>Port</th>
<th>Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A21032C9A591</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99A323C90842</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11223445566</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>301B2369011C</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AABBCCDDEEFF</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Learning Switch Algorithm

Receive_Packet (packet, ingress_port, time_now):
   Is Source MAC Address in Table?
      If no, insert source (address, ingress_port, time) into table
   Is Destination MAC address in Table?
      If no, send out all ports (except the one it came in on)!!
         (except ingress_port)
      If yes, just send out the port from table

Clean_Up(time_now):
   foreach entry:
      if (time_now - entry.time) is big
         delete entry
Why do we have this age/timeout stuff?
Broadcast + Learning Bridges, Recap

• Pros:
  • Self-organizing — “plug and play”!
  • Hardware and algorithms are fairly simple.
  • State: Each switch maintains $O$(number of hosts) state.

• Cons?
Let’s try it ourselves…
I’ll give you 90 seconds to figure out what is wrong.
LOOPS
Routing, Generation 1: Broadcast
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Routing, Generation 1: Broadcast
…forever
This is called a “broadcast storm.”
Solutions?
Just make your network be a tree.
Just don’t plug in anything that makes a loop?
How do we turn this...
...into this?
Spanning Tree Algorithm

I think that I shall never see
A graph more lovely than a tree.
A tree whose crucial property
Is loop-free connectivity.
A tree that must be sure to span
So packets can reach every LAN.
First, the root must be selected.
By ID, it is elected.
Least-cost paths from root are traced.
In the tree, these paths are placed.
A mesh is made by folks like me,
Then bridges find a spanning tree.

Radia Perlman
What is a Spanning Tree?

- Reduce our topology graph to a tree:
  - Make sure there are no loops in the topology
  - All LAN segments are still connected to the LAN and can receive messages

- Main idea: Bridges choose the ports over which they have to forward frames.
Distributed Spanning Tree Overview

Embed a tree that provides a single unique default path to each destination:

- Bridges designate ports over which they will or will not forward frames
- By removing ports, networks is reduced to a tree
- Addresses the broadcast storm; but tree is not resilient
  - When switch/link fails, rerun protocol to converge to new tree
Distributed Spanning Tree Algorithm

- Bridge with lowest ID (MAC address) is the “root”
  - All ports are part of tree
- Each bridge finds shortest path to the root.
  - Remembers port that is on the shortest path
Everyone keeps a simple data structure

• (Root, Path Length, Next Hop)
  • Root: the root of the tree
  • Path Length: the number of switches you have to go to to reach the root
  • Next Hop: The switch you should forward packets to for them to reach the root.
Basic Algorithm, if you are a switch

- Look at your ID (MAC address). That is your ID. Assume you are the root. Store (Me, 0, Me) in your data structure.

- do{

  - Tell your neighbors (root, pathLength, yourID)
  
  - Listen to your neighbors when they tell you their path to the root.
    
    - If their ID number is smaller, replace root/path with their root/path, incrementing PathLength by one
    
    - If their ID number is the same but their path length is shorter, replace your root/path with theirs, incrementing PathLength by 1
    
    - If neighbor A and neighbor B both tell you the same ID and path length, choose to route through A since A is lower than B.

  }

while(I keep getting new updates)
Basic Algorithm, if you are a switch

• Now that you know where the root is and how to get it:
• Disable all ports that do not
  • (a) Connect you to the root
  • (b) Connect someone to you who uses you to get to the root.
Note: these are special control messages which are not broadcast
Things are about to get weird

- This is a *distributed algorithm*
  - That means that all of the nodes operate on their own time scales, at the same time
  - This makes it hard to reason about the order things happen in across the whole system
  - It’s easiest to think about the system just one node at a time.
Root node ID for this new route is higher than the current node ID. I should keep my old route.
Root node ID for this new route is lower than the current node ID. I should update my route!
I should tell my neighbors about the change!!
It’s hard to predict what order things will happen in: everyone is sending and updating at the same time — the only place it is easy to reason about order is at an individual node!
What does this mean?
Eventually…

• We stop receiving “new” updates
  • We say that the protocol has *converged*.
  • Now: remove all links that don’t connect someone on their path to the root node.
What should I remove?
What should I remove?
Let’s try it now

• Your node ID is your full name.
• Your neighbors are your neighbors.
• Quiet down when you think you have converged.
Who is the root?
**Trade-Offs**

**Resilience**: the ability to provide and maintain an acceptable level of service in the face of faults and challenges to normal operation.

- Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches
  - If there is a route, the packet will reach dest!
- Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches and Spanning Tree
  - Need to recomputed spanning tree if failure
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resilience</th>
<th>Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches</th>
<th>Need to recompute spanning tree if failure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fully Distributed</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fully Distributed:** does not assume the previous existence of a central coordinator.
Trade-Offs

Resilience
- Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches: If there is a route, the packet will reach dest!
- Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches and Spanning Tree: Need to recompute spanning tree if failure

Fully Distributed
- Yes
- Yes

State per Node
- Learning Switch: O(#nodes)
- Learning Switch: O(#nodes) + Path to Root: O(constant)

State: The amount of memory each node uses
## Trade-Offs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches</th>
<th>Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches and Spanning Tree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resilience</td>
<td>If there is a route, the packet will reach dest!</td>
<td>Need to recompute spanning tree if failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully Distributed</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State per Node</td>
<td>Learning Switch: $O(#\text{nodes})$</td>
<td>Learning Switch: $O(#\text{nodes}) + \text{Path to Root: } O(\text{constant})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convergence</td>
<td>No setup time at all!</td>
<td>Need to run spanning tree protocol before routing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We will only talk about convergence in “big picture” terms — but analyzing convergence for routing protocols and other distributed algorithms is a fascinating area of theoretical computer science. If you’re curious about this stuff, take a class from Prof. Haeupler.

**Convergence:** the process of routers/switches agreeing on optimal routes for forwarding packets and thereby completing the updating of their routing table.
Trade-Offs

- **Resilience**: If there is a route, the packet will reach dest!
  - Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches
  - Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches and Spanning Tree
- **Fully Distributed**: Yes
  - Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches
  - Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches and Spanning Tree
- **State per Node**: Learning Switch: \( O(#\text{nodes}) \)
  - Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches
  - Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches and Spanning Tree
- **Convergence**: No setup time at all!
  - Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches
  - Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches and Spanning Tree
- **Routing Efficiency**: Broadcast Storms
  - Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches
  - Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches and Spanning Tree
- **Learning Switch**: Yes
  - Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches
  - Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches and Spanning Tree
- **Learning Switch**: \( O(#\text{nodes}) + \text{Path to Root: } O(\text{constant}) \)
  - Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches
  - Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches and Spanning Tree
- **Need to recompute spanning tree if failure**
  - Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches
  - Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches and Spanning Tree
- **Need to run spanning tree protocol before routing**
  - Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches
  - Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches and Spanning Tree
- **Still sends new connections everywhere.**

Do the packets go where they need to get efficiently — without wasting resources at switches?
## Trade-Offs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches</th>
<th>Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches and Spanning Tree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resilience</td>
<td>If there is a route, the packet will reach dest!</td>
<td>Need to recompute spanning tree if failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully Distributed</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State per Node</td>
<td>Learning Switch: (O(#\text{nodes}))</td>
<td>Learning Switch: (O(#\text{nodes})) + Path to Root: (O(\text{constant}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convergence</td>
<td>No setup time at all!</td>
<td>Need to run spanning tree protocol before routing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routing Efficiency</td>
<td>Broadcast Storms</td>
<td>Still sends new connections everywhere.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shortest Path?</td>
<td>Not Necessarily…</td>
<td>Not Necessarily…</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We know packets will reach their destination… but do they take the shortest path to get there?
What if 3 wants to communicate with 4?

What if 5 wants to communicate with 3?
Time check…
We only use broadcast routing in very small networks.

One rack in the machine room.

A wing of one floor in GHC.

To orchestrate the bigger network — across campus — we use other algorithms.

Why do you think that is?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches</th>
<th>Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches and Spanning Tree</th>
<th>Distance Vector e.g RIP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resilience</td>
<td>If there is a route, the packet will reach dest!</td>
<td>Need to recompute spanning tree if failure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully Distributed</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State per Node</td>
<td>Learning Switch: $O(#\text{nodes})$</td>
<td>Learning Switch: $O(#\text{nodes})$ + Path to Root: $O(\text{constant})$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convergence</td>
<td>No setup time at all!</td>
<td>Need to run spanning tree protocol before routing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routing Efficiency</td>
<td>Broadcast Storms</td>
<td>Still sends new connections everywhere.</td>
<td>Packets sent directly to their destination.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shortest Path?</td>
<td>Not Necessarily…</td>
<td>Not Necessarily…</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recall: Spanning Tree
There is exactly one node that every *does* have the shortest path to.
How Distance-Vector (DV) Works

Each router maintains its shortest distance to every destination via any of its neighbors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Destinations</th>
<th>A’s Route Table</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>to B</td>
<td>via B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to C</td>
<td>via C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\text{dist}_c(A, D)$: shortest distance from A to D via C

Each router computes its shortest distance to every destination via any of its neighbors.
How Distance-Vector (DV) Works
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Each router maintains its shortest distance to every destination via each of its neighbors.

A’s Route Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>via B</th>
<th>via C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>to B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to C</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each router computes its shortest distance to every destination via any of its neighbors.
How Distance-Vector (DV) Works

Link distance doesn’t have to be 1! Could be some other value — e.g., latency of the link
How Distance-Vector (DV) Works

Each router maintains its shortest distance to every destination via each of its neighbors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>via B</th>
<th>via C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>to B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to C</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to D</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How Distance-Vector (DV) Works

Routers send a summary of their tables to their neighbors. This summary is called a “distance vector”

Each router computes its shortest distance to every destination via any of its neighbors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>via B</th>
<th>via C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>to B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to C</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to D</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How Distance-Vector (DV) Works

A's Route Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>via B</th>
<th>via C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>to B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to C</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to D</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A's distance vector (DV)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>min dist</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>to A</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Update route to min(all of my B routes)
How Distance-Vector (DV) Works

A's Route Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>via B</th>
<th>via C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>to B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to C</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to D</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A's distance vector (DV)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>min dist</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>to A</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to B</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to C</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Update route to min(all of my C routes)
How Distance-Vector (DV) Works

A's Route Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>via B</th>
<th>viaC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>to B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to C</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to D</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A's distance vector (DV)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>min dist</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>to A</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to B</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to C</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to D</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Update route to min(all of my D routes)
But, when we start the table is mostly empty…
We have to learn by receiving DV’s from others.
How Distance-Vector (DV) Works

But, when we start the table is mostly empty… We have to learn by receiving DV’s from others.

A’s Route Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>via B</th>
<th>via C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>to B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>∞</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to C</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to D</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>∞</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B’s DV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>mindist</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>to A</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to C</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to D</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How Distance-Vector (DV) Works

But, when we start the table is mostly empty…
We have to learn by receiving DV’s from others.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A’s Route Table</th>
<th>via B</th>
<th>via C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>to B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>∞</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to C</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to D</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>∞</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B’s DV</th>
<th>mindist</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>to A</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to C</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to D</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Distance Vector Routing: Summary

• Each router knows the links to its neighbors

• Each router has provisional “shortest path” to every other router -- its distance vector (DV)

• Routers exchange this DV with their neighbors

• Routers look over the set of options offered by their neighbors and select the best one

• Iterative process converges to set of shortest paths
Tricky Question

• Let’s assume our DV algorithm runs in “rounds”

• In lock-step, all routers send out a DV to their neighbors

• Then they update their tables — all at the same time! — with the new information they have received.

• Then, in lock-step, they all send out a DV at the same time. (Repeat)

• **Q: How many “rounds” will it take for the DV algorithm to converge?**
Intuition

• Initial state: best one-hop paths
• One simultaneous round: best two-hop paths
• Two simultaneous rounds: best three-hop paths
• …
• Kth simultaneous round: best (k+1) hop paths
• Must eventually converge
  • as soon as it reaches longest best path
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches</th>
<th>Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches and Spanning Tree</th>
<th>Distance Vector e.g. RIP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resilience</td>
<td>If there is a route, the packet will reach dest!</td>
<td>Need to recompute spanning tree if failure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully Distributed</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State per Node</td>
<td>Learning Switch: $O(#\text{nodes})$</td>
<td>Learning Switch: $O(#\text{nodes}) + \text{Path to Root}: O(\text{constant})$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convergence</td>
<td>No setup time at all!</td>
<td>Need to run spanning tree protocol before routing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routing Efficiency</td>
<td>Broadcast Storms</td>
<td>Still sends new connections everywhere.</td>
<td>Packets sent directly to their destination.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shortest Path?</td>
<td>Not Necessarily…</td>
<td>Not Necessarily…</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feature</td>
<td>Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches</td>
<td>Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches and Spanning Tree</td>
<td>Distance Vector e.g RIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resilience</td>
<td>If there is a route, the packet will reach dest!</td>
<td>Need to recompute spanning tree if failure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully Distributed</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State per Node</td>
<td>Learning Switch: O(#nodes)</td>
<td>Learning Switch: O(#nodes) + Path to Root: O(constant)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convergence</td>
<td>No setup time at all!</td>
<td>Need to run spanning tree protocol before routing</td>
<td>Need to run DV before routing — takes length of longest best path time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routing Efficiency</td>
<td>Broadcast Storms</td>
<td>Still sends new connections everywhere.</td>
<td>Packets sent directly to their destination.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shortest Path?</td>
<td>Not Necessarily…</td>
<td>Not Necessarily…</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feature</td>
<td>Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches</td>
<td>Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches and Spanning Tree</td>
<td>Distance Vector e.g RIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resilience</td>
<td>If there is a route, the packet will reach dest!</td>
<td>Need to recompute spanning tree if failure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully Distributed</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State per Node</td>
<td>Learning Switch: ( O(#\text{nodes}) )</td>
<td>Learning Switch: ( O(#\text{nodes}) + \text{Path to Root: } O(\text{constant}) )</td>
<td>( O(# \text{ switches} \times \max \text{ node degree}) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convergence</td>
<td>No setup time at all!</td>
<td>Need to run spanning tree protocol before routing</td>
<td>Need to run DV before routing — takes length of longest best path time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routing Efficiency</td>
<td>Broadcast Storms</td>
<td>Still sends new connections everywhere.</td>
<td>Packets sent directly to their destination.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shortest Path?</td>
<td>Not Necessarily…</td>
<td>Not Necessarily…</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches</td>
<td>Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches and Spanning Tree</td>
<td>Distance Vector e.g RIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resilience</td>
<td>If there is a route, the packet will reach dest!</td>
<td>Need to recompute spanning tree if failure</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully Distributed</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State per Node</td>
<td>Learning Switch: $O(#\text{nodes})$</td>
<td>Learning Switch: $O(#\text{nodes}) + \text{Path to Root: } O(\text{constant})$</td>
<td>$O(# \text{ switches } \times \text{ max node degree})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convergence</td>
<td>No setup time at all!</td>
<td>Need to run spanning tree protocol before routing</td>
<td>Need to run DV before routing — takes length of longest best path time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routing Efficiency</td>
<td>Broadcast Storms</td>
<td>Still sends new connections everywhere.</td>
<td>Packets sent directly to their destination.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shortest Path?</td>
<td>Not Necessarily…</td>
<td>Not Necessarily…</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feature</td>
<td>Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches</td>
<td>Broadcast Network w/ Learning Switches and Spanning Tree</td>
<td>Distance Vector e.g. RIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resilience</td>
<td>If there is a route, the packet will reach dest!</td>
<td>Need to recompute spanning tree if failure</td>
<td>I have some bad news.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully Distributed</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State per Node</td>
<td>Learning Switch: ( O(#\text{nodes}) )</td>
<td>Learning Switch: ( O(#\text{nodes}) + \text{Path to Root: } O(\text{constant}) )</td>
<td>( O(# \text{ switches } * \text{ max node degree}) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convergence</td>
<td>No setup time at all!</td>
<td>Need to run spanning tree protocol before routing</td>
<td>Need to run DV before routing — takes length of longest best path time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routing Efficiency</td>
<td>Broadcast Storms</td>
<td>Still sends new connections everywhere.</td>
<td>Packets sent directly to their destination.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shortest Path?</td>
<td>Not Necessarily…</td>
<td>Not Necessarily…</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Stay Tuned

• We will come back to this on Tuesday.

• Recitations: TOMORROW!
  • You can go to any section, even if you are not registered for that section.
  • You are not required to attend, but are highly recommended to do so.
  • Reminder: homework due tomorrow.

• ALL COURSE INFO IS AT computer-networks.github.io/sp19